DEVELOPMENT OF PRACTICAL SKILLS THROUGH INTERDISCIPLINARY INTEGRATION IN MEDICAL EDUCATION

Shaismatova Gulbahor Xikmatovna
Ikramova Sokina Bonu Ravshanzoda
Nasirova Durdonaxon Yusupjonovna
Angren University

Annotation: *The rapid development of medical science and healthcare technologies has significantly increased the demand for highly qualified medical professionals who possess not only strong theoretical knowledge but also well-developed practical and clinical skills. In this context, interdisciplinary integration in medical education has emerged as an effective pedagogical approach for enhancing students professional competencies. This article examines the role of interdisciplinary integration in the development of practical skills among medical students. The study analyzes theoretical foundations, reviews relevant scientific literature, and explores methodological approaches to interdisciplinary teaching. The results demonstrate that integrating basic sciences, clinical disciplines, and related fields contributes to deeper understanding, improved clinical reasoning, and enhanced hands-on skills. Based on the findings, practical recommendations are proposed to improve the quality of medical education through interdisciplinary integration.*

Keywords: *Medical education, interdisciplinary integration, practical skills, clinical competence, professional training, integrated learning.*

Modern medical education faces the challenge of preparing future healthcare professionals who are capable of responding effectively to complex

clinical situations. Traditional discipline-based teaching methods often fragment knowledge, making it difficult for students to apply theoretical concepts in real clinical practice. As a result, there is a growing need for innovative educational approaches that ensure the holistic development of medical competencies.

Interdisciplinary integration has gained increasing attention as a pedagogical strategy that connects knowledge, skills, and values across different academic disciplines. In medical education, this approach facilitates the integration of basic medical sciences, clinical subjects, public health, and allied sciences. By fostering meaningful connections between disciplines, interdisciplinary learning supports the development of practical skills, clinical thinking, and professional judgment.

This article aims to explore how interdisciplinary integration contributes to the development of practical skills in medical education and to identify effective methods for implementing this approach in the training of future medical professionals.

To implement interdisciplinary integration effectively, a medical curriculum must move beyond traditional "subject silos." This detailed approach focuses on how to merge theory and practice to ensure students develop clinical competence that mirrors real-world healthcare.

The Framework of Interdisciplinary Integration

In medical education, integration is often categorized into three distinct dimensions that support practical skill acquisition:

Horizontal Integration

This occurs when disciplines taught at the same time are merged. Instead of studying the heart in Anatomy on Monday and Physiology on Friday, students engage in Organ System Blocks.

Practical Application: While learning the anatomy of the thorax, students simultaneously practice auscultation (listening to heart sounds) and

interpreting ECGs. This creates an immediate link between structure and clinical function.

Vertical Integration

This blurs the line between "pre-clinical" (basic sciences) and "clinical" (hospital-based) years.

Early Clinical Exposure: Students are introduced to patients in their first year. For example, when learning about glucose metabolism (Biochemistry), they shadow a diabetic clinic to see how blood glucose is monitored in real time.

Interprofessional Integration

This involves learning with, from, and about other healthcare professionals (nurses, pharmacists, physical therapists).

Skill Development: Practicing a "Code Blue" simulation where a medical student leads, but relies on the pharmacist for drug preparation and the nurse for vitals monitoring. This builds the practical skill of Team Resource Management.

Practical Skill Development Methodologies

Moving from "knowing" to "doing" requires specific pedagogical tools:

Simulation-Based Medical Education (SBME)

Simulation is the bridge where interdisciplinary knowledge is tested under pressure.

Task Trainers: Using high-fidelity mannequins to practice intubation while simultaneously calculating sedative dosages based on the patient□s "case history" provided in the module.

Standardized Patients (SP): Utilizing trained actors to practice history-taking and physical exams. This integrates clinical knowledge with communication skills and medical ethics.

The "Flipped Classroom" in Clinical Skills

Students study the theoretical background (Pathology/Pharmacology) via digital modules before arriving at the lab. The entire face-to-face time is then dedicated to hands-on practice, such as suturing, lumbar punctures, or ultrasound-guided procedures.

Designing an Integrated Clinical Case

To see how this works in practice, consider the development of a student managing a "Shortness of Breath" case:

Phase	**Integrated Disciplines**	**Practical Skill Developed**
Assessment	Anatomy & Physiology	Correct placement of a stethoscope and lung percussion.
Diagnostics	Radiology & Pathology	Reading a Chest X-ray and interpreting Arterial Blood Gas (ABG) results.
Intervention	Pharmacology & Nursing	Calculating nebulizer dosages and administering oxygen therapy.
Communication	Ethics & Psychology	Explaining the diagnosis to a distressed patient/family member.

Assessment: The "Miller□s Pyramid" Model

Interdisciplinary integration requires a shift in how we assess students. We move up Miller□s Pyramid:

Knows: Multiple Choice Questions (Basic theory).

Knows How: Case-based questions (Integration of theory).

Shows How: OSCE (Objective Structured Clinical Examination). Students move through timed stations. At one station, they might need to perform a neurological exam (Anatomy/Clinical Skills), and at the next, explain a surgical consent form (Legal/Ethics).

Does: Workplace-Based Assessment (WPBA). Observation of the student in a real hospital setting managing actual patient care.

Barriers to Implementation

Faculty Resistance: Professors are often protective of their specific subject areas.

Logistical Complexity: Scheduling cross-departmental labs requires intense coordination.

Assessment Rigidity: It is easier to grade a physiology test than it is to grade an integrated clinical performance.

Conclusion

Interdisciplinary integration ensures that medical students do not view a patient as a puzzle of disconnected parts. By merging basic sciences with clinical practice and professional teamwork, we produce physicians who are not just knowledgeable, but clinically proficient and ready for the complexities of modern medicine.

Interdisciplinary integration in medical education plays a vital role in developing students practical skills and professional competencies. It bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and clinical practice, enhances clinical reasoning, and promotes holistic learning. The integration of disciplines contributes to higher educational quality and better preparation of future medical professionals.

Based on the study findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

Incorporate interdisciplinary modules into medical curricula at all stages of training.

Promote collaboration between basic science and clinical departments.

Use active learning methods such as problem-based, case-based, and simulation-based learning.

Provide professional development programs for educators on interdisciplinary teaching.

Develop assessment tools that measure integrated knowledge and practical competencies.

Implementing these measures will contribute to more effective medical education and improved healthcare outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Frenk, J., Chen, L., Bhutta, Z. A., Cohen, J., Crisp, N., Evans, T., & Zurayk, H. (2010). Health professionals for a new century: Transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *The Lancet*, 376(9756), 1923□1958.

2. World Health Organization. (2013). Transforming and scaling up health professionals□ education and training. Geneva: WHO Press.

3. Brauer, D. G., & Ferguson, K. J. (2015). The integrated curriculum in medical education: AMEE Guide No. 96. *Medical Teacher*, 37(4), 312□322.

4. Norman, G., & Schmidt, H. (1992). The psychological basis of problem-based learning: A review of the evidence. *Academic Medicine*, 67(9), 557□565.

5. Prince, K. J. A. H., van Mameren, H., Hylkema, N., Drukker, J., Scherpbier, A. J. J. A., & van der Vleuten, C. P. M. (2003). Does problem-based learning lead to deficiencies in basic science knowledge? *Medical Education*, 37(1), 15□21.

6. Thistlethwaite, J. E., Davies, D., Ekeocha, S., Kidd, J. M., MacDougall, C., Matthews, P., & Clay, D. (2012). The effectiveness of case-based learning in health professional education: A systematic review. *Medical Teacher*, 34(6), e421□e444.

7. Cook, D. A., Hatala, R., Brydges, R., Zendejas, B., Szostek, J. H., Wang, A. T., & Hamstra, S. J. (2011). Technology-enhanced simulation for health professions education: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 306(9), 978□988.

8. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

9. Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for quality learning at university. 4th ed. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

10. Ten Cate, O. (2013). Competency-based medical education. *Academic Medicine*, 88(11), 1577□1578.

11. Epstein, R. M., & Hundert, E. M. (2002). Defining and assessing professional competence. *JAMA*, 287(2), 226□235.

12. Mezirow, J. (1997). Transformative learning: Theory to practice. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 74, 5□12.

13. Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME). (2021). Functions and structure of a medical school. Washington, DC: LCME.