

Sho'ba: Ekologik madaniyat, ta'lim, ma'rifat va turizm
THE EMERGENCE AND USE OF ECOSYSTEM-RELATED
TERMS IN SCIENTIFIC DISCOURSE

Mamatov Shukurillo Sheramat ugli

Djizzakh State Pedagogical University

+(998) 94 475 21 02

mamatovshukrullojon@gmail.com

Abstract: *This paper explores the emergence, historical development, and contemporary usage of ecosystem-related terminology in scientific discourse. The study analyzes the etymological roots, semantic evolution, and interdisciplinary applications of key ecological terms. Special attention is paid to the adaptation of ecosystem terminology into the Uzbek language, translation challenges, and issues of terminological standardization. Recent research and findings from 2017 to 2022 regarding ecosystem services, digital ecosystems, and environmental sustainability are incorporated to highlight ongoing developments and practical applications.*

Keywords: *ecosystem, ecological terminology, interdisciplinary integration, biome, biodiversity, trophic chain, digital ecosystem, ecosystem services.*

Аннотация: *В данной статье рассматриваются происхождение, историческое развитие и современное использование терминологии, связанной с экосистемами, в научной сфере. Проведен анализ этимологических корней, семантической эволюции и междисциплинарного применения ключевых экологических понятий.*

Особое внимание уделяется адаптации терминологии экосистем на узбекский язык, проблемам перевода и вопросам стандартизации терминов. В исследование включены современные данные и результаты исследований за период 2017–2022 гг., касающиеся экосистемных услуг, цифровых экосистем и устойчивого развития окружающей среды, что позволяет отразить текущие тенденции и практическое применение экосистемной терминологии.

Ключевые слова: экосистема, экологическая терминология, междисциплинарная интеграция, биом, биоразнообразие, трофическая цепь, цифровая экосистема, экосистемные услуги.

Annotatsiya: Ushbu maqolada ekotizimlarga oid terminologiyaning paydo bo'lishi, tarixiy rivoji va zamonaviy ilmiy sohadagi qo'llanilishi ko'rib chiqiladi. Asosiy ekologik tushunchalarning etimologik ildizlari, semantik evolyutsiyasi va fanlararo qo'llanilishi tahlil qilinadi. Maxsus e'tibor ekotizim terminologiyasining o'zbek tiliga moslashtirilishi, tarjima bilan bog'liq muammolar va terminlarni standartlashtirish masalalariga qaratiladi. Tadqiqotga 2017–2022 yillar davrida ekotizim xizmatlari, raqamli ekotizimlar va atrof-muhit barqarorligi bo'yicha olingan zamonaviy ma'lumotlar kiritilgan bo'lib, bu ekotizim terminologiyasining amaliy qo'llanilishi va dolzarb tendensiyalarini yoritishga imkon beradi.

Kalit so'zlar: ekotizim, ekologik terminologiya, fanlararo integratsiya, biom, biologik xilma-xillik, trofik zanjir, raqamli ekotizim, ekotizim xizmatlari.

Introduction. The continuous development of scientific thought inevitably leads to the expansion and transformation of terminological systems. This process is particularly evident in interdisciplinary fields such as ecology,

where scientific, social, and technological factors interact closely. The growing global concern over environmental problems has significantly increased the relevance of ecological research and, consequently, the active use of ecosystem-related terminology.

The term “ecosystem” represents one of the central concepts of modern ecology, describing the integrated system formed by living organisms and their physical environment. Through this concept, biological and abiotic components of nature are interpreted as a unified functional whole. This article aims to analyze the origin of the ecosystem concept, its historical development, major associated terms, and their contemporary use in scientific discourse, including recent studies and practical applications.

Research Findings. The term “ecosystem” was first introduced into scientific discourse in 1935 by the British ecologist Arthur G. Tansley. It is derived from the Greek words *oikos* (house, habitat) and *systema* (system), reflecting the idea that living organisms and their surrounding environment form a single functional unit.

Prior to the twentieth century, ecological studies mainly focused on individual organisms or isolated populations. Tansley’s ecosystem concept fundamentally changed this approach by emphasizing the inseparable relationship between organisms and their environment. In the second half of the twentieth century, the concept became a theoretical foundation of ecology and was further developed through studies on energy flow, nutrient cycles, and trophic levels. Over time, the ecosystem concept expanded beyond biology into geography, soil science, climatology, biochemistry, economics, and environmental management.

A range of key terms has emerged within the framework of ecosystem studies, each of which is closely interrelated:

- **Biocenosis** – a community of interacting living organisms within a specific habitat;
- **Biotope** – the abiotic environment in which organisms live;
- **Biome** – a large-scale ecosystem characterized by specific climatic conditions and dominant vegetation;
- **Trophic chain (food chain)** – the system of feeding relationships among organisms;
- **Producers** – organisms that synthesize organic matter through photosynthesis;
- **Consumers** – organisms that feed on ready-made organic substances;
- **Decomposers (reducers)** – microorganisms that decompose organic remains and ensure nutrient recycling.

These terms explain the continuous circulation of matter and energy within ecosystems and form the theoretical basis of ecological balance.

Today, ecosystem terminology is widely used not only in natural sciences but also in social, economic, and technological fields. Expressions such as “business ecosystem,” “digital ecosystem,” and “innovation ecosystem” have become firmly established in modern academic and professional discourse. For example, the concept of a digital ecosystem refers to the complex system of interactions among platforms, software infrastructures, users, and data flows. This demonstrates that the natural ecosystem model has been metaphorically transferred to socio-technical

systems, reflecting the interconnected and dynamic nature of modern digital environments.

The introduction of ecological terms into the Uzbek language has mainly occurred through Russian and English. Terms such as *ecosystem*, *biome*, and *biosphere* were largely adopted through phonetic and morphological adaptation. In addition, many terms entered the language through translation:

- *Food chain* → **Oziq zanjiri**
- *Biodiversity* → **Biologik xilma-xillik**
- *Habitat* → **Yashash muhiti**

During this process, the alignment of borrowed terms with Uzbek linguistic norms and their consistent use in scientific style play a crucial role in maintaining terminological clarity.

One of the most pressing issues in translating ecological terminology is the preservation of semantic accuracy. In some cases, a single foreign term may have multiple equivalents in Uzbek, leading to synonymic confusion. For instance, the terms *environment*, *habitat*, and *ecosystem* are sometimes inaccurately used interchangeably, which can reduce scientific precision. This highlights the urgent need for systematic terminological standardization.

Methodology. This study employed descriptive, comparative-analytical, and etymological research methods. Ecosystem-related terms were examined on the basis of English and Uzbek linguistic materials. In addition, a content analysis of academic sources in ecology, terminology, and interdisciplinary studies was conducted to identify the semantic development and functional characteristics of the key terms. Recent studies from 2017–2022 were included to provide up-to-date insights into ecosystem services, digital ecosystems, and environmental sustainability.

Results and discussion. The analysis shows that ecosystem-related terminology initially emerged within a strictly biological context. However, today its field of application has expanded significantly. Particularly in digital technologies and economics, the ecosystem model functions as a powerful metaphor for describing complex interactive systems. In the Uzbek language, most ecosystem terms have entered through direct borrowing, which in some cases has resulted in terminological inconsistency. These findings indicate the importance of developing unified standards for the use and translation of ecological terminology.

Recent research highlights specific trends and applications:

- **Costanza et al. (2017)** demonstrated the evolution of ecosystem services and their role in sustainable policy-making.
- **Li, Chen & Zhang (2019)** discussed the development of digital ecosystems and their implications for socio-technical networks.
- **Scholz (2020)** emphasized the importance of environmental literacy in science education and decision-making.
- **Xu, Cheng & Li (2022)** provided insights into ecological security patterns and sustainable development practices.

Integrating these findings, the study underscores that ecosystem terminology is not only foundational in ecology but also essential in interdisciplinary applications, policy-making, and digital innovation strategies.

Conclusion. This study examined the emergence, historical development, and contemporary usage of ecosystem-related terminology in scientific discourse with particular emphasis on interdisciplinary expansion, digital applications, and linguistic adaptation into the Uzbek language. The research demonstrated that ecosystem concepts, originally biological, have

become universal models applied in economics, digital technology, and education.

The analysis of recent studies (2017–2022) indicates a growing emphasis on ecosystem services, sustainability, and the development of digital ecosystems. The findings also reveal terminological inconsistencies in Uzbek adaptations, emphasizing the need for standardized dictionaries and consistent translation practices.

Overall, the research contributes to both theoretical understanding of concept migration across disciplines and practical applications, including dictionary compilation, higher education curricula, and improving translation quality. Future studies may employ corpus-based analyses and comparative cross-linguistic research to further explore ecosystem terminology evolution.

REFERENCES:

1. Tansley, A. G. (1935). The use and abuse of vegetational concepts and terms. *Ecology*, 16(3), 284–307.
2. Odum, E. P. (1971). *Fundamentals of Ecology*. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders.
3. Begon, M., Townsend, C. R., & Harper, J. L. (2006). *Ecology: From Individuals to Ecosystems*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
4. Abduazizov, A. (2010). *Issues of Linguistic Terminology*. Tashkent: Fan Publishing House.
5. Explanatory Dictionary of the Uzbek Language. (2020). Tashkent: National Encyclopedia of Uzbekistan.
6. Costanza, R., et al. (2017). Twenty years of ecosystem services: How far have we come and how far do we still need to go? *Ecosystem Services*, 28, 1–16.

7. Kareiva, P., Tallis, H., Ricketts, T., Daily, G., & Polasky, S. (2011). *Natural Capital: Theory and Practice of Mapping Ecosystem Services*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
8. Gómez-Baggethun, E., & Barton, D. N. (2013). Classifying and valuing ecosystem services for urban planning. *Ecological Economics*, 86, 235–245.
9. Moore, J. F. (2016). *The Death of Competition: Leadership and Strategy in the Age of Business Ecosystems*. New York: Harper Business.
10. Li, Y., Chen, Y., & Zhang, X. (2019). Digital ecosystem: Concept, development, and future trends. *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering*, 28(2), 195–214.
11. Scholz, R. W. (2020). Environmental literacy in science and society: From knowledge to decisions. *Cambridge University Press*.